



# How Engineers Should Evaluate Injection Molding Partners for Medical Devices

Most molding issues in medical devices don't originate on the shop floor, they're designed in. The root cause is rarely supplier effort or intent. Instead, problems stem from early technical assumptions made without full process context, often locked in before manufacturing feasibility is properly assessed.

Strong engineering teams evaluate molding partners as an integral part of the design system, not as a downstream sourcing step. This fundamental shift in perspective transforms supplier selection from a procurement exercise into a critical engineering decision that directly impacts product quality, validation success, and long-term manufacturability.

# The Engineer's Evaluation Checklist

A comprehensive framework for assessing injection molding partners based on design integrity, validation readiness, and lifecycle robustness.

1

## Design & Process Fit

Evaluate experience with materials used in regulated medical applications and ability to consistently hold critical-to-function tolerances. Assess their understanding of geometry-driven risk including thin walls, shutoffs, undercuts, and weld lines.

**Key distinction:** Can they mold this design, or do they routinely design processes around parts like this? Look for evidence of prior success with similar part architectures, not just similar size.

2

## Prototype to Production Transition

Examine their approach to tooling strategy, including soft versus hard tooling decisions and staged builds. Understand how prototype learnings are systematically carried into production-intent tooling.

Demand clarity on what changes between DFM signoff, tool build, validation, and volume production. Engineers often underestimate how many failures happen in the gap between "works once" and "works every time."

3

## Validation & Quality Systems

Assess depth of experience with IQ/OQ/PQ protocols and documentation rigor. Evaluate their change control discipline and how process windows are defined, monitored, and defended over time.

Look for evidence that validation is treated as a design input that shapes development decisions, not a checkbox activity performed after designs are frozen.

4

## Process Maturity & Risk Management

Understand how variation is identified, escalated, and systematically corrected. Review their use of SPC and capability metrics tied directly to functional requirements.

Evaluate clear ownership structures when issues cross design, tooling, and processing boundaries. The best partners demonstrate willingness to challenge designs that introduce unnecessary manufacturing risk.

# Supplier Evaluation as an Engineering Decision System

Transform supplier evaluation from vendor comparison into a systematic engineering decision framework. This approach uncovers critical differences that procurement-focused methods often miss.



## Capability Fit vs. Range

Do they support edge cases, or are edge cases their norm? How often do they run parts at the tolerance and material limits you're designing to?

A supplier with broad capability range isn't necessarily ideal if your specific requirements fall outside their core competency. Match their operational sweet spot to your technical needs.

## Process Maturity vs. Technical Claims

How do they define and actively protect a stable process window? What happens when results begin to drift from specification?

Examine how changes are proposed, evaluated, and approved. Process maturity reveals itself in systematic responses to variation, not in marketing materials or capability statements.

## Transition Readiness

Map how information flows from design to tooling, tooling to validation, and validation to production. Identify where handoffs typically break.

The best partners have reinforced these critical transition points with formal processes, clear documentation requirements, and designated ownership that prevents knowledge loss.

# Weighting Evaluation Criteria by Program Risk

Not all medical device programs require identical evaluation rigor, but applying the wrong level of scrutiny in the wrong place creates invisible risk that emerges late in development. Adjust your evaluation emphasis based on program-specific factors.



## Patient Safety Impact

Higher safety criticality demands deeper validation experience, more rigorous process controls, and demonstrated expertise with similar risk profiles.



## Volume Expectations

High-volume programs require proven scalability, robust capacity planning, and process capability that maintains quality under sustained production demands.



## Material Sensitivity

Advanced polymers and biocompatible materials require specialized processing knowledge, material handling protocols, and environmental controls.



## Functional Tolerance Stack-ups

Tight tolerances on critical dimensions demand demonstrated process capability, advanced metrology, and systematic SPC programs.



## Regulatory Exposure

Class II and Class III devices require partners with proven FDA inspection readiness, comprehensive quality systems, and mature change control processes.



The goal is matching evaluation intensity to program risk. Under-evaluation creates downstream failures; over-evaluation wastes resources and delays timelines unnecessarily.

# Partner with Moldgenix: Engineering First Molding Solutions

## Our Approach

We help engineering teams' pressure-test molding assumptions early, before geometry, tooling, or validation paths are locked. This proactive collaboration reduces risk and prevents costly redesigns.

At Moldgenix, we translate design intent into process reality so validation isn't a surprise. Our team supports experienced engineering organizations in reducing molding and validation risk before designs are frozen or RFQs are issued.

We operate as an extension of your engineering team, providing the manufacturing context that informs better design decisions from the earliest stages of development.

01

---

### Ask the Right Questions

Challenge assumptions about manufacturability, process windows, and validation requirements during design phases

02

---

### Design for Reality

Integrate manufacturing constraints into design iterations before tooling investments are made

03

---

### Plan for Validation

Structure processes and documentation with validation success as a primary design input

04

---

### Scale with Confidence

Transition to volume production with processes designed for consistency and long-term capability

**You're not just looking for a molding partner; you're building a manufacturing strategy** that reduces technical risk and accelerates time to market. Let's discuss how our engineering-first approach supports your next medical device program.

## Contact Us

Email: [hello@moldgenix.com](mailto:hello@moldgenix.com)

Phone: (215) 538-9613

Website: [www.moldgenix.com](http://www.moldgenix.com)



# Partner with Moldgenix: Engineering First Molding Solutions

## Our Approach

We help engineering teams pressure-test molding assumptions early, before geometry, tooling, or validation paths are locked. This proactive collaboration reduces risk and prevents costly redesigns.

At Moldgenix, we translate design intent into process reality so validation isn't a surprise. Our team supports experienced engineering organizations in reducing molding and validation risk before designs are frozen or RFQs are issued.

We operate as an extension of your engineering team, providing the manufacturing context that informs better design decisions from the earliest stages of development.

01

### Ask the Right Questions

Challenge assumptions about manufacturability, process windows, and validation requirements during design phases

02

### Design for Reality

Integrate manufacturing constraints into design iterations before tooling investments are made

03

### Plan for Validation

Structure processes and documentation with validation success as a primary design input

04

### Scale with Confidence

Transition to volume production with processes designed for consistency and long-term capability

**You're not just looking for a molding partner, you're building a manufacturing strategy** that reduces technical risk and accelerates time to market. Let's discuss how our engineering-first approach supports your next medical device program.

## Contact Us

Email: [hello@moldgenix.com](mailto:hello@moldgenix.com)

Phone: (215) 538-9613

Website: [www.moldgenix.com](http://www.moldgenix.com)

